

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,492 09/11/2001		David John Hollick	B&H011US5487 7155		
75	90 10/17/2002				
Marguerite Gerstner Tyco Electronics Corporation 307 Constitution Drive MS R20 2B			EXAMINER		
			LEON, EDWIN A		
Menlo Park, CA	1 94025-1164		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						A		
			Application	No.	\pplicant(s)			
		09/936,492		HOLLICK, DAVID	JOHN			
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	<u></u>		
	•		Edwin A. Leó		2833			
	- The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication app	pears on the co	over sheet with the	e correspondence ad	dress		
Period fo	r Kepiy Drtened Statutory Period F	OD DEDI	V IS SET TO I	EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum set to reply within the set or extended period for repleply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.1 munication. 30) days, a repl tatutory period or will by statute	136(a). In no event, ly within the statutor, will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be y minimum of thirty (30) of pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co	/. mmunication.		
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>05</u>	<u> August 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Th	nis action is no	n-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the	application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/			deration.	•			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.							
7) 🗀								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the							
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are							
	Applicant may not request that any ol							
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction file				proved by the Examin	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
,	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Ex	xamıner.					
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a clair		n priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)(☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priorit							
	2. Certified copies of the priorit							
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Intelection application from the Intelection actions.	mational B	ureau (PCT Ri	ule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domes	tic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
a 15)⊟ /)	anguage pr I for domes	rovisional appl stic priority und	ication has been ler 35 U.S.C. §§	received. 120 and/or 121.			
Attachmen	t(s)			_				
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s)	5		nary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed August 5, 2002 in which Claim 7 has been amended, has been place of record in the file as Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, and 9-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auclair (U.S. Patent No. 5,494,462) in view of Lamome (U.S. Patent No. 5,422,438). With regard to Claims 1, 7, 13-14, 17 and 20-22, Auclair discloses an electrical connector (10) comprising a connector body (20) including a tubular socket (22) configured to receive an electrical conductor (14), clamping means (40) arranged to secure the electrical conductor (14) within the socket (22), and a socket insert fitting (12) within the tubular socket (22) so as to reduce the effective size of the socket (22), the clamping means (40) comprising at least one clamping bolt (44) held in respective

Art Unit: 2833

threaded bores in the connector body (20) such that the at least one clamping bolt (44) extends into the socket (22) so as to clamp, via the socket insert (12). See Figs. 1-7.

Auclair doesn't show the socket insert being tubular and being adapted to be deformed by the clamping means into retaining engagement with the electrical conductor, wherein the electrical conductor is received within the tubular socket insert to position the socket insert between the clamping member and the electrical connector and between an opposing surface of the socket relative to the clamping member and the electrical conductor.

Lamome discloses a connector defining a socket (4) and having a socket insert

(3) being tubular and being adapted to be deformed by the clamping means (arrows,

Fig. 2) into retaining engagement with the electrical conductor (9), wherein the electrical

conductor (9) is received within the tubular socket insert (3). See Figs. 1-2.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the connector of Auclair by changing the socket insert to a tubular socket insert adapted to be deformed by the clamping means into retaining engagement with the electrical conductor, wherein the electrical conductor is received within the tubular socket insert as taught in Lamome to help strengthen the connection formed between conductors, preventing them from slipping.

With regard to Claims 2, 10 and 19, the combination of Auclair and Lamome discloses the claimed invention except the socket insert being aluminum.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the socket insert of aluminum, since it has been held to be

Art Unit: 2833

within the general ordinary skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

With regard to Claims 3-4, 9, 11, 15-16, and 23, Lamome discloses the socket insert (3) having a corrugated profile (formed by 8). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claims 5, 12, and 18, Lamome discloses an internal surface of the tubular socket insert (3) having tooth-like formations (8). See Figs. 1-2

With regard to Claim 6, Auclair discloses the socket (22) being a bore of substantially circular cross-section. See Figs. 1-7.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auclair (U.S. Patent No. 5,494,462) in view of Lamome (U.S. Patent No. 5,422,438) and Polidori (U.S. Patent No. 5,320,565). The combination of Auclair and Lamome discloses the claimed invention except at least one clamping bolt including a shearable head that shears off when a torque applied to the shearable head exceeds a predetermined value.

Polidori discloses a connector (10) having at least one clamping bolt (46) including a shearable head (45) that shears off when a torque applied to the shearable head (45) exceeds a predetermined value. See Fig. 4 and Column 38-47.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the connector of Auclair and Lamome by including at least one clamping bolt including a shearable head that shears off when a torque applied to the shearable head exceeds a predetermined value as taught in Pranch to prevent over torquing.

Art Unit: 2833

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin A. León whose telephone number is (703) 308-6253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Edwin A. Leon AU 2833

EAL October 2, 2002 THO D. TA
PRIMARY EXAMINER